

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/442,646	11/18/1999	TOSHIHISA SARUTA	4947-0087-2	6214
22850	7590 04/07/2005		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			WALLERSON, MARK E	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/442,646	SARUTA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark E. Wallerson	2626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rion. The areply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	27 January 2005.				
, <u> </u>	<u> </u>				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application Papers Claim(s) 6-8,16-18 and 23 is/are allowed is/are rejected to. Claim(s) 25 is/are objected to restriction and sales.	thdrawn from consideration. ected.				
	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the c	accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Λ) □ Intentie… (Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/30/04. 	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2626

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

- 1. This action is responsive to the following communications: amendment filed on 1/27/05.
- 2. This application has been reconsidered. Claims 1-25 are pending.

Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the

Art Unit: 2626

reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19, 20, 21, 22, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Silverbrook (U.S. 6,565,181).

With respect to claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 21, and 22, Silverbrook discloses a method of determining whether a storage unit included in an ink cartridge is normal (column 166, lines 7-31), wherein the ink cartridge is detachably attached to the printer, comprising reading a piece of ink related information (state data) that has been registered in advance in a predetermined format from the storage unit (column 166, lines 20-46); identifying whether the read-out information satisfies the predetermined format so as to determine whether the storage unit is normal or not normal if the information has been destroyed (altered) (column 167, lines 29-64; column 168, lines 1-13; column 174, lines 23-37; and column 207, lines 20-48). Silverbrook also discloses a display (15).

With regard to claims 4 and 13, Silverbrook discloses discontinuing a printing operation if the storage is not normal (column 147, line 60 to column 148, line 19).

With respect to claims 5, 15, and 24, Silverbrook discloses the state data includes the month of manufacture of the ink cartridge (column 257, lines 62-64).

With regard to claim 19, Silverbrook discloses a storage unit included in an ink cartridge (column 166, lines 8-65), wherein the ink cartridge is detachably attached to a printer (column 2, lines 57-65), the storage unit comprising: an address counter that outputs a count in response to a clock signal output from the printer (column 243, lines 55-67; and a storage element that stores plural pieces of specific information (state information) including a piece of ink related

Art Unit: 2626

•

sequentially accessed based on the count output from the address counter (column 249, lines 6-

information registered in a predetermined format (column 166, lines20-46) and that is

29 and column 257, lines 20-42).

With regard to claim 20, Silverbrook discloses an ink cartridge comprising a storage unit (column 4, lines 28-50 and column 147, line 60 to column 148, line 19).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 6-8, 16-18, and 23 are allowed.
- 7. Claim 25 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 1/27/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant continues to submit that *Silverbrook* does not disclose determining whether a storage unit is not normal if a read-out piece of ink related information is destroyed. The Examiner again respectfully disagrees.

Silverbrook discloses reading consumable state information (which reads on ink related information) from the storage and determining whether this information has been tampered with (column 157, lines 30-48; column 158, lines 22-67; column 159, lines 46-62; column 199, lines

Art Unit: 2626

17-23; column 205, lines 57-65; column 228, lines 39-54, and column 249, lines 1-28).

Applicant submits that "altered" is not synonymous with "destroyed". Again, the Examiner disagrees. The Examiner believes that within the context of the instant application "altering" is the same as "destroying", since the original state has been compromised. *Silverbrook* also discloses that if one of the keys of the consumable is compromised, the security mechanism for the consumable is made **void**, and the **damage** depends on the ease with which they can be reprogrammed (column 207, lines 20-48). Additionally, *Silverbrook* discloses detecting whether an attack has **permanently damaged** the chip (column 212, lines 17-30). This clearly reads on determining whether the read-out information has been "destroyed".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark E. Wallerson whose telephone number is (703) 305-8581. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday - 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams can be reached on (703) 305-4863. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2626

Mark E. Wallerson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2626

MARK WALLERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER